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General Information

1.1 Gender

female |
31.3% |
n=16

male |
68.8%

N/A |
0%

1.2 Nationality

German (D) |
23.5% |
n=17

EU (excl. D) |
11.8%

Non-EU |
64.7%

1.3 Course Degree

Bachelor |
0% |
n=14

Master |
100%

other |
0%

1.4 Core Semester

1-2 |
42.9% |
n=14

3-4 |
42.9%

5-6 |
7.1%

7-8 |
0%

>8 |
7.1%
1.5 How much time do you currently spend on this course including preparation and follow up work?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Range</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>less than 1 hr.</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 3 hrs.</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 to 5 hrs.</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 7 hrs.</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 to 9 hrs.</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>more than 9 hrs.</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.6 I find the course interesting.

- **strongly agree:** 62.5%
- **strongly disagree:** 0%

**Seminar Concept**

2.1 The learning goals of the seminar are defined.

- **strongly agree:** 62.5%
- **strongly disagree:** 0%

2.2 The seminar is well structured.

- **strongly agree:** 70.0%
- **strongly disagree:** 0%

2.3 The selected topics make sense.

- **strongly agree:** 87.5%
- **strongly disagree:** 0%

2.4 The topics are distributed among participants fairly.

- **strongly agree:** 68.8%
- **strongly disagree:** 0%

2.5 The formal requirements are clearly formulated.

- **strongly agree:** 42.9%
- **strongly disagree:** 0%

2.6 I have the required basic knowledge.

- **strongly agree:** 41.2%
- **strongly disagree:** 0%

2.7 I would evaluate the seminar concept as ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Level</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - very good</td>
<td>64.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - good</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - satisfactory</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - sufficient</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - poor</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supervision during Lecture and Elaboration**

The supervisor …
3.1 ... is available.

3.2 ... gives helpful feedback.

3.3 ... is willing to answer questions.

3.4 ... engages my interest in the topic.

3.5 ... speaks proper, comprehensible English.

3.6 ... gives me the chance to implement my own ideas.

3.7 I would evaluate the supervisor as ...

1 - very good 93.3%  
2 - good 6.7%  
3 - satisfactory 0%  
4 - sufficient 0%  
5 - poor 0%

Presentation and Discussion

4.1 Suitable media is available for student presentation.

4.2 The discussions are moderated appropriately.

4.3 The time for student presentation is ...

appropriate 81.3%  
too long 6.3%  
too short 12.5%

4.4 The time for discussions is ...

appropriate 100%  
too long 0%  
too short 0%
Organization and Implementation

5.1 The materials provided are helpful.

- **strongly agree** 64.7%
- **strongly disagree** 0%

- **n=17**
- **md=1**
- **s=0.9**

5.2 The Media used in the seminar contribute to students' understanding.

- **strongly agree** 56.3%
- **strongly disagree** 0%

- **n=16**
- **md=2**
- **s=0.6**

5.3 The seminar begins and ends on time.

- **strongly agree** 47.1%
- **strongly disagree** 0%

- **n=17**
- **md=2**
- **s=1**

5.4 The size of the group is ...

- appropriate 93.8%
- too large 6.3%
- too small 0%

- **n=16**

5.5 How often was the seminar cancelled on regularly scheduled dates? (Lecture-free days are not included!)

- 0 x 100%

- **n=17**

5.6 How many times did you have a substitute teacher?

- 0 x 94.1%

- **n=17**

5.7 In the event of a substitute teacher, was the substitute suitable?

- yes 0%
- no 5.9%
- N/A 76.5%

- **n=17**

Personal Summary

I have ...

6.1 ... improved my presentation skills.

- **strongly agree** 58.8%

- **strongly disagree** 0%

- **n=17**
- **md=1.5**
- **s=0.6**
6.2 ... learned to conduct research independently.

6.3 ... learned a lot about the discipline.
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## General Information

1.6 I find the course interesting.  
- **strongly agree**  
- **strongly disagree**  
  - $n=16$  
  - $mw=1.5$  
  - $md=1.0$  
  - $s=0.7$

## Seminar Concept

2.1 The learning goals of the seminar are defined.  
- **strongly agree**  
- **strongly disagree**  
  - $n=16$  
  - $mw=1.5$  
  - $md=1.0$  
  - $s=0.7$

2.2 The seminar is well structured.  
- **strongly agree**  
- **strongly disagree**  
  - $n=17$  
  - $mw=1.4$  
  - $md=1.0$  
  - $s=0.6$

2.3 The selected topics make sense.  
- **strongly agree**  
- **strongly disagree**  
  - $n=16$  
  - $mw=1.2$  
  - $md=1.0$  
  - $s=0.5$

2.4 The topics are distributed among participants fairly.  
- **strongly agree**  
- **strongly disagree**  
  - $n=16$  
  - $mw=1.5$  
  - $md=1.0$  
  - $s=0.9$

2.5 The formal requirements are clearly formulated.  
- **strongly agree**  
- **strongly disagree**  
  - $n=14$  
  - $mw=1.7$  
  - $md=2.0$  
  - $s=0.7$

2.6 I have the required basic knowledge.  
- **strongly agree**  
- **strongly disagree**  
  - $n=17$  
  - $mw=1.6$  
  - $md=2.0$  
  - $s=0.5$

## Supervision during Lecture and Elaboration

3.1 … is available.  
- **strongly agree**  
- **strongly disagree**  
  - $n=17$  
  - $mw=1.3$  
  - $md=1.0$  
  - $s=0.6$

3.2 … gives helpful feedback.  
- **strongly agree**  
- **strongly disagree**  
  - $n=16$  
  - $mw=1.4$  
  - $md=1.0$  
  - $s=0.6$

3.3 … is willing to answer questions.  
- **strongly agree**  
- **strongly disagree**  
  - $n=17$  
  - $mw=1.2$  
  - $md=1.0$  
  - $s=0.4$

3.4 … engages my interest in the topic.  
- **strongly agree**  
- **strongly disagree**  
  - $n=17$  
  - $mw=1.4$  
  - $md=1.0$  
  - $s=0.6$

3.5 … speaks proper, comprehensible English.  
- **strongly agree**  
- **strongly disagree**  
  - $n=16$  
  - $mw=1.4$  
  - $md=1.0$  
  - $s=0.6$

3.6 … gives me the chance to implement my own ideas.  
- **strongly agree**  
- **strongly disagree**  
  - $n=14$  
  - $mw=1.6$  
  - $md=1.0$  
  - $s=0.8$

## Presentation and Discussion

4.1 Suitable media is available for student presentation.  
- **strongly agree**  
- **strongly disagree**  
  - $n=17$  
  - $mw=1.3$  
  - $md=1.0$  
  - $s=0.6$

4.2 The discussions are moderated appropriately.  
- **strongly agree**  
- **strongly disagree**  
  - $n=17$  
  - $mw=1.4$  
  - $md=1.0$  
  - $s=0.6$
### Organization and Implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>mw</th>
<th>md</th>
<th>s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1 The materials provided are helpful.</td>
<td>strongly agree</td>
<td>strongly disagree</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2 The Media used in the seminar contribute to students' understanding.</td>
<td>strongly agree</td>
<td>strongly disagree</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3 The seminar begins and ends on time.</td>
<td>strongly agree</td>
<td>strongly disagree</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Personal Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>mw</th>
<th>md</th>
<th>s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.1 ... improved my presentation skills.</td>
<td>strongly agree</td>
<td>strongly disagree</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2 ... learned to conduct research independently.</td>
<td>strongly agree</td>
<td>strongly disagree</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3 ... learned a lot about the discipline.</td>
<td>strongly agree</td>
<td>strongly disagree</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>